## Some Examples Using Hamilton's Method of Apportionment

Consider a (very) small nation consisting of four states with the following populations in 2010:

| State | Population |
| :---: | ---: |
| A | 13,000 |
| B | 15,000 |
| C | 4,000 |
| D | 6,000 |

1. (a) Use Hamilton's method to calculate the apportionment with a House size of 54.

| State | Population | \% of Total | Quota for <br> 54 Seats | Floor of <br> Quota | Largest <br> Remainder | Apportionment |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| A | 13,000 | $34.21 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| B | 15,000 | $39.47 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| C | 4,000 | $10.53 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| D | 6,000 | $15.79 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 38,000 |  |  |  |  |  |

(b) Now use Hamilton's method with a House size of 55.

| State | Population | \% of Total | Quota for 55 Seats | Floor of Quota | Largest <br> Remainder | Apportionment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 13,000 | 34.21\% |  |  |  |  |
| B | 15,000 | $39.47 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| C | 4,000 | 10.53\% |  |  |  |  |
| D | 6,000 | 15.79\% |  |  |  |  |

(c) Compare your results.
2. (a) Use Hamilton's method to calculate the apportionment with a House size of 43.

| State | Population | \% of Total | Quota for <br> 43 Seats | Floor <br> of Quota | Largest <br> Remainder | Apportionment |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 13,000 | $34.21 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| B | 15,000 | $39.47 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| C | 4,000 | $10.53 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| D | 6,000 | $15.79 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 38,000 |  |  |  |  |  |

(b) Now suppose when the next census is completed in 2020 that the states have grown at the following rates:
A by $11 \%$
B by $15 \%$
C by $10 \%$
D by $20 \%$

Recalculate the apportionment using Hamilton's method

| State | $2020$ <br> Population | \% of Total | Quota for 43 Seats | Floor of Quota | Largest <br> Remainder | Apportionment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Total
(c) Compare your results.
(d) If B had grown at $13 \%$, how would the result change?

|  | 2020 | Quota for | Floor <br> of | Largest <br> Remainder | Apportionment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Population | $\%$ of Total | 43 Seats |  |  |  |

B

C

D

## Total

3. Consider once again the calculations from $\# 1$ for an apportionment for a House of size 54 for the 2010 census using Hamilton's method. Notice that each representative represents $\frac{38,000}{54} \approx 704$ citizens, on average.
Now suppose that state E is joining the nation with a population of 7,450 . Based on the average representation, it seems that E should get 11 seats.
Calculate the apportionment using Hamilton's method with state E added and a House size of $54+11=65$ and compare to the results for a House size of 54 in \#1.

| State | Population | $\%$ of Total | Quota for <br> 65 Seats | Floor of <br> Quota | Largest <br> Remainder |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apportionment |  |  |  |  |  |
| B | 13,000 | 15,000 |  |  |  |
| C | 4,000 |  |  |  |  |
| D | 6,000 |  |  |  |  |
| E | 7,450 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 45,450 |  |  |  |  |

